arXiv:0903.5220v1 [math.AC] 30 Mar 2009

On G-(n, d)-rings

Najib Mahdou and Khalid Ouarghi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez,
Box 2202, University S. M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco
mahdou@hotmail.com
ouarghi.khalid@hotmail.fr

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to investigate new class of rings called, for positive integers n and d, $G-(n,d)-$rings, over which every n-presented module has a Gorenstein projective dimension at most d. Hence we characterize n-coherent $G-(n,0)-$rings. We conclude by various examples of $G-(n,d)-$rings.

Key Words. Gorenstein projective and flat modules, Gorenstein global dimension, trivial ring extension, subring retract, (n, d)-ring.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with identity element and all modules are unital. If M is any R-module, we use $pd_R(M)$, $id_R(M)$ and $fd_R(M)$ to denote, respectively, the usual projective, injective and flat dimensions of M. It is convenient to use "m-local" to refer to (not necessarily Noetherian) ring with a unique maximal ideal m.

In 1967-69, Auslander and Bridger [1, 2] introduced the G-dimension for finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings. Several decades later, this homological dimension was extended, by Enochs and Jenda [11, 13], to the Gorenstein projective dimension of modules that are not necessarily finitely generated and over non-necessarily Noetherian rings. And, dually, they defined the Gorenstein injective dimension. Then, to complete the analogy with the classical homological dimension, Enochs, Jenda and Torrecillas [14] introduced the Gorenstein flat dimension.

In the last years, the Gorenstein homological dimensions have become a vigorously active area of research (see [4, 9, 11, 12, 17] for more details). In 2004, Holm [17] generalized several results which are already obtained over Noetherian rings.

The Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions of a module are defined in terms of resolutions by Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules, respectively.

Definitions 1.1 *[17]*

1. *An R-module M is said to be Gorenstein projective, if there exists an exact sequence of projective modules*

$$\mathbf{P} = \ \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to P^0 \to P^1 \to \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(P_0 \to P^0)$ and such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, Q)$ leaves the sequence $\mathbf{P}$ exact whenever Q is a projective module.

2. *The Gorenstein injective modules are defined dually.*

3. *An R-module M is said to be Gorenstein flat, if there exists an exact sequence of flat modules*

$$\mathbf{F} = \ \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to F^0 \to F^1 \to \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(F_0 \to F^0)$ and such that $- \otimes I$ leaves the sequence $\mathbf{F}$ exact whenever I is an injective module.

Recently, in [5], the authors started the study of the Gorenstein global dimension of a ring R, denoted $\mathrm{G-gldim}(R)$, and defined as follows:

$$G - gldim(R) = \sup\{Gpd_R(M) \mid M \ R - module\}.$$

Let R be a commutative ring, and let M be an R-module. For any positive integer n, we say that M is n-presented whenever there is an exact sequence:

$$F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

of R-modules in which each F_i is a finitely generated free R-module. In particular, 0-presented and 1-presented R-modules are respectively finitely generated and finitely presented R-modules. We set $\lambda_R(M) = \sup\{n \mid M \text{ is } n\text{-presented}\}$, except that we set $\lambda_R(M) = -1$ if M is not finitely generated. Note that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ is a way to express the fact that M is n-presented.

Costa (1994) [10], introduced a doubly filtered set of classes of rings in order to categorize the structure of non- Noetherian rings: for non-negative integers n and d, we say that a ring R is an (n, d)-ring if $pd_R(M) \leq d$ for each n-presented R-module M. (n, d)-rings are known rings in some particular values of n and d. For examples, R is a Noetherian (n, d)-ring, means that R has global dimension $\leq d$. $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$-rings are respectively semi simple, von Neumann regular and hereditary rings (see [10, Theorem 1.3]). According to Costa [10], a ring R is called a n-coherent ring if every n-presented R-module is $(n+1)$-presented. For more results about (n, d)-rings, see for instance, [10, 22, 23].

The object of this paper is to extend the ideas of Costa and introduce a doubly filtered set of classes of rings called $G-(n, d)-$rings and defined as follows:

Definition 1.2 *Let n, $d \geq 0$ be integers. A ring R is called a $G-(n, d)$-ring if every n-presented R-module has a Gorenstein projective dimension at most d, ($\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ implies $Gpd_R(M) \leq d$).*

In section 2, we characterize some known rings by $G-(n, d)-$propriety, for small values of n and d. Hence, We study the transfer of this propriety to some particular construction rings. Also, we characterize $n-$coherent $G-(n, 0)-$rings. The section 3 is reserved to give some examples of $G-(n, d)-$rings for particular values of n and d. Hence, we give an example of a ring which is a $G-(n, d)-$ring but not $(n, d)-$ring for any positive integers n and d. Also we give examples of $G-(n, 0)-$rings which are not $G-(n-1, d)-$rings, for $n = 2, 3$ and for any positive integer d.

2 Main results

We start this section by the following Definitions.

Definitions 2.1 *Let R be a ring.*

1. *R is G-semisimple if every R module is Gorenstein projective (=R is quasi-Frobenius). (See [7, §2]).*
2. *R is G-Von Neuman regular if every R-module is Gorenstein flat (=R is IF-ring). (See [25, §5]).*
3. *R is G-hereditary if $G-gldim(R) \leq 1$. Also R is a G-Dedekind if it is an integral domain G-hereditary. (See [25, §3]).*

4. *R is G-semi-hereditary if R is coherent and every submodule of a flat R-module is Gorenstein flat. Also R is a G-prüfer if it is an integral domain G-semi-hereditary.(See [25, §4]).*

In the next result we characterize the rings in Definitions 2.1 above, with the $G-(n,d)-$propriety. This Theorem is a generalization of [10, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 2.2 *Let R be a ring. Then:*

1. *R is a $G-(0,0)-$ring if and only if R is G-semisimple.*
2. *R is a $G-(0,1)-$ring if and only if R is G-hereditary.*
3. *R is a $G-(0,d)-$ring if and only if $G-gldim(R) \leq d$.*
4. *R is a $G-(1,0)-$ring if and only if R is G-Von Neuman regular.*
5. *If R is coherent, then R is $G-(1,1)-$ring if and only if R is G-semi-hereditary.*
6. *R is a $G-(0,1)-$domain if and only if R is G-Dedekind.*
7. *If R is coherent, then R is a $G-(1,1)-$Domain if and only if R is G-prüfer.*
8. *R is Noetherian, then R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring if and only if $G-gldim(R) \leq d$*

Proof. (1) Follows from [7, Proposition 2.1]. $(2),(4),(5),(6)$ and (7) follow respectively from [25, Proposition 3.3, Proposition 5.8, Proposition 4.3, Definition 3.1 and Definition 4.1]. (3) follows from [5, Lemma 2.2]. (8), follows from (3) and since in a Noetherian ring R, every finitely generated R-module is infinitely presented. ■

Remark 2.3 *1) An (n,d)-ring is a $G-(n,d)-$ring for any positive integers n and d. The converse is not true in general (see Example 3.2).*
2) $G-(n,d)-$rings are $G-(n',d')-$rings for any $n' \geq n$ and $d \geq d'$. The converse is not true in general (see Theorem 3.1).

Recall that, for two rings $A \subseteq B$, we say that A is a module retract of B if there exists an A-module homomorphism $f : B \longrightarrow A$ such that $f/A = id/A$. f is called a module retraction map. If such map f exists, B contains A as an A-module direct summand.

Proposition 2.4 *Let A be a subring retract of R, ($R = A \oplus_A E$), such that E is a flat A-module and $G-gldim(A)$ is finite. If R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, then A is a $G-(n,d)-$ring too.*

Proof.

Let M be an A-module n-presented. Since R is a flat A-module, $M \otimes_A R$ is an R-module n-presented, and by hypothesis $Gpd_R(M \otimes_A R) \leq d$. Then, $Gpd_A(M) \leq d$ from [24, Proposition2.4] . ■

Let A be a ring and let E be an A-module. The trivial ring extension of A by E is the ring $R := A \propto E$ whose underlying group is $A \times E$ with multiplication given by $(a,e)(a',e') = (aa', ae' + a'e)$. These extensions have been useful for solving many open problems and conjectures in both commutative and non-commutative ring theory. See for instance,[16, 18, 20, 22, 23].

A direct application of Proposition 2.4 is the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.5 *Let A be a ring and let E be an A-module such that E is flat and $G-gldim(A)$ is finite. If $R = A \propto E$ is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, then A is a $G-(n,d)-$ring too.*

In the next result we study the transfer of the $G-(n,d)-$propriety to the polynomial ring.

Theorem 2.6 *Let R be a ring and let X be an indeterminate over R.*

1. *Suppose that $G-gldim(R)$ is finite. If $R[X]$ is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, then R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring too.*
2. *If R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring which is not $G-(n,d-1)$-ring, then $R[X]$ is not a $G-(n,d)-$ring.*
3. *Suppose that $G-gldim(R)$ is finite. If $R[X]$ is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, then R is a $G-(n,d-1)$-ring.*

Proof.

1. Let M be an R-module such that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$. Since $R[X]$ is a free R-module, we have $\lambda_{R[X]}(M[X]) \geq n$, and by hypothesis $Gpd_{R[X]}(M[X]) \leq d$. From [6, Lemma 2.8] $Gpd_R(M) \leq d$, and R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring as desired.
2. Since R is a $G-(n,d)$-ring which is not $G-(n,d-1)$-ring, there exists an R-module M such that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ and $Gpd_R(M) = d$. Then, from [17, Theorem], it is easy to see that there exists a free R-module F such that $Ext^d_R(M,F) \neq 0$. on the other hand, M is also an $R[X]$-module via the

canonical morphism: $R[X] \longrightarrow R$. Hence, from [27, Lemma 9.29], there exists an exact sequence of $R[X]$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow M[X] \longrightarrow M[X] \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we conclude that $\lambda_{R[X]}(M) \geq \lambda_{R[X]}(M[X])$. But since $R[X]$ is a flat R-module we see that $\lambda_{R[X]}(M[X]) \geq \lambda_R(M) \geq n$, and we have $\lambda_{R[X]}(M) \geq n$. Then, [27, Theorem 9.37] shows that:

$$Ext^{d+1}_{R[X]}(M, F[X]) \cong Ext^{d+1}_R(M, F) \neq 0.$$

It follows from [17, Theorem 2.20] that $Gpd_{R[X]}(M) \geq d$. Finally, $R[X]$ is not a $G-(n,d)-$ring as desired.

3. . Follows from (1) and (2) of the same Theorem. ■

In the next Theorem we study the transfer of the $G-(n,d)-$propriety to finite direct product of rings.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 ... \times R_m$ be a finite direct product of rings. If R is $G-(n,d)-$ring, then R_i is a $G-(n,d)-$ring for each $i = 1, ..., m$.*
The converse is true if $sup\{G-gldim(R_i) \mid i = 1, ..., m\}$ is finite.

To prove this Theorem we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.8 *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 ... \times R_m$ be a finite direct product of rings and let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then, $M = \oplus_i M_i$ is $n-$presented R-module if and only if, M_i is n-presented R_i-module for each $i = 1, ..., m$.*

Proof. Follows from [8, Corollary 2.6.9]. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Let M_i be an R_i-module such that $\lambda_{R_i}(M_i) \geq n$, then, from Lemma 2.8 above, we have $\lambda_R(\oplus_i M_i) \geq n$ and by hypothesis $Gpd_R(\oplus_i M_i) \leq d$. Hence, from [6, Lemma 3.2] $Gpd_{R_i}(M_i) \leq d$.
Conversely, suppose that $sup\{G-gldim(R_i) \mid i = 1, ..., m\}$ is finite and let $M = M_1 \oplus ... \oplus M_m$ be an R-module n-presented. Then for each i, M_i is n-presented R_i-module by Lemma 2.8.And from the hypothesis we have $Gpd_{R_i}(M_i) \leq d$. Hence, from [6, Lemma 3.3], $Gpd_R(M) \leq \sup\{Gpd_{R_i}(M_i) \mid i = 1, ..., m\} \leq d$. ■

The next result shows that a $G-(n,d)-$ring has grade at most d. This Theorem is a generalization of [10, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 2.9 *Let R be a $G-(n,d)-$ring. Then R contains no regular sequence of length $d+1$.*

Proof. Let $x_1,...,x_t$ be a regular sequence in R, where $I=\sum_{i=1}^{t}Rx_i \neq R$. Then, the Koszul complex defined by $\{x_1,...,x_t\}$ is a finite free resolution of R/I and hence R/I is n-presented for every n. Then, since R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, we have $Gpd_R(R/I)\leq d$. But it's well known from [21, Exercice 1, page 127], that $Gpd_R(R/I)=t$. Hence $t\leq d$. ■

In the next result we study "when the $G-(n,d)-$propriety is local" of the $G-(n,d)-$propriety.

Proposition 2.10 *Let R be a ring with $G-gldim(R)$ is finite and let n and d be positive integers such that, $d\leq n-1$. If R is locally a $G-(n,d)-$ring, then R is also a $G-(n,d)-$ring.*

To prove this Theorem we need this Lemma.

Lemma 2.11 *[10, Lemma 3.1] Let M be an R-module, and let S be a multiplicative subset of system in R. If M has a finite n-presentation, then:*

$$S^{-1}Ext^{i}_{R}(M,N)\cong Ext^{i}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M,S^{-1}N)$$

for all $0\leq i\leq n-1$, and $S^{-1}Ext^{n}_{R}(M,N)$ is isomorphic to some submodule of $Ext^{n}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M,S^{-1}N)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Let M be an n-presented R-module and let m be a maximal ideal of R. Then M_m is an n-presented R_m-module. Let P be a projective R-module, then $(Ext^{i}_{R}(M,P))_m = Ext_{R_m}(M_m,P_m)=0$, and from [27, Theorem3.80], $Ext^{i}_{R}(M,P)=0$ for all $0\leq i\leq n$. Hence, $Gpd_R(M)\leq d$. ■

Now we give our main result of this section in which we give a characterization of n-coherent $G-(n,0)-$ring.

Theorem 2.12 *Let R be an n-coherent ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

A) *R is a $G-(n,0)-$ring.*

B) *The following conditions hold:*

1. *Every finitely generated ideal of R has a nonzero annihilator.*
2. *For each infinitely presented R-module M $Gpd_R(M)<\infty$.*

3. *For every finitely generated Gorenstein projective submodule G of a finitely generated projective R-module P, P/G is Gorenstein projective.*

Proof.
To prove this Theorem we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.13 ([3], Theorem 5.4) *The following assertions are equivalent for a ring R:*

1. *Every finitely generated projective submodule of a projective R-module P is a direct summand of P.*
2. *Every finitely generated proper ideal of R has a nonzero annihilator.*

Proof of Theorem 2.12. $(A) \Longrightarrow (B)$. The condition (2) is obvious. Now we prove (1). Let P be a finitely generated submodule of Q and both P and Q are projective. Let Q' be a projective R-module such that $Q \oplus_R Q' = F_0$ is a free R-module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow Q/P \oplus_R Q' \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (*)$$

On the other hand, since P is finitely generated projective R-module, there exists a finitely generated free submodule F_1 of F_0 such that $P \subseteq F_1$ and $F_0 = F_1 \oplus_R F_2$. Thus, we see easily that P is infinitely presented and from the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_1/P \longrightarrow 0$$

$pd_R(F_1/P) \leq 1$ and F_1/P is also infinitely presented, and by hypothesis F_1/P is Gorenstein projective, then it is projective. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & P \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F_1/P \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & P \arrow[r] & F_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Q/P \oplus_R Q' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & F_2 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & F_2 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since F_2 and F_1/P are projective, the exact sequence $(*)$ splits and $Q \oplus_R Q' \cong P \oplus_R Q/P \oplus_R Q'$. Then $Q \cong P \oplus_R Q/P$ as desired.
To finish the proof of the first implication, it remains to prove that the condition

(3) holds. Let G be a finitely generated submodule of a finitely generated projective R-module P. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P/G \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $Gpd_R(P/G) \leq 1$ and from [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists an exact sequence of R-modules :

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow P/G \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\star)$$

where K is projective and H is Gorenstein projective. Combine (2) of this Theorem with [25, Proposition 5.17], we conclude that K is a direct summand of H and the exact sequence $(\star)$ splits. Then P/G is Gorenstein projective as direct summand of H.

$(B) \Longrightarrow (A)$

Let M be an n-presented R-module. Since R is n-coherent, M is infinitely presented and $Gpd_R(M)$ is finite. Let $Gpd_R(M) = d$, then we have the exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{u_{d-1}} P_{d-1} \xrightarrow{u_{d-2}} P_{d-2} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{u_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where P_i is finitely generated projective for each i and G is Gorenstein projective. Then we have the exact sequences of R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow G(= \ker(u_{d-1})) \longrightarrow P_{d-1} \longrightarrow Im(u_{d-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow Im(u_i)(= \ker(u_{i-1})) \longrightarrow P_{i-1} \longrightarrow Im(u_{i-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } i = 2, ..., d-1$$

$$0 \longrightarrow Im(u_1)(= \ker(u_0)) \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Im(u_0) = M \longrightarrow 0$$

Then, by hypothesis and since G is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective submodule of a projective R-module P_{d-1}, we have $Im(u_{d-1}) \cong P_{d-1}/G$ is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective R-module. Thus, by induction, we conclude that $M = Im(u_0)$ is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective R-module and this completes the proof. ■

In the next Proposition we study the relation between $G-(n,d)-$rings and $G-(n,0)-$rings.

Proposition 2.14 *Let R be a $G-(n,d)-$ring. Then R is a $G-(n,0)-$ring if and only if $Ext_R(M,K) = 0$ for every n-presented R-module M and every R-module K with $pd_R(K) = Gpd_R(M) - 1$.*

Proof.

$\Longrightarrow$) Obvious.

$\Longleftarrow$) Let M be an n-presented R-module. Since R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring, we have $Gpd_R(M)\leq d$. And from [17, Theorem 2.10] there exists an exact sequence:

$$0\longrightarrow K\longrightarrow G\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow 0 \qquad (\star)$$

where G is Gorenstein projective and $pd_R(K)=Gpd_R(M)-1$. By hypothesis $Ext_R(M,K)=0$ and the exact sequence $(\star)$ splits. Then by [17, Theorem 2.5] M is Gorenstein projective as a direct summand of G. ■

3 Examples

In this section, we construct a class of $G-(2,0)-$rings (respectively, $G-(3,0)-$rings) which are not $(1,d)-$rings (respectively, not $G-(2,d)-$rings) for every integer $d\geq 1$. Also we give an example of ring which is $G-(n,d)-$ring and not $(n,d)-$ring for every integers $n,d\geq 0$.

In the next result we give an example of ring which is $G_(2,0)-$ring but not $G-(1,d)-$ring. Also we give an example of ring which is $G-(2,d)-$ring and neither $G-(2,d-1)-$ring nor $G-(1,d)-$ring for any integer $d\geq 0$. This Theorem is a generalization [22, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 3.1 *Let K be a field and let $E(\cong K^{\infty})$ be a K-vector space with infinite rank. Let $R:=K\propto E$ the trivial ring extension of K by E. Then:*

1. *R is a $G-(2,0)-$ring.*
2. *R is not $G-(1,d)-$ring, for every positive integer d.*
3. *Let S be a Noetherian ring with $Ggldim(S)=d$. Then, $T=R\times S$ is a $G-(2,d)-$ring but neither $G-(1,d)-$ring nor $G-(2,d-1)-$ring*

Proof.

1. R is a $G-(2,0)-$ring since it is a $(2,0)-$ring from [22, Theorem 3.4].
2. Let d be a positive integer, we have to prove that R is not a $G-(1,d)-$ring. $M=0\propto E$ is the maximal ideal of R and let $(0,e_i)_{i\in}$ be a set of generators of M. Consider the exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0\longrightarrow M^{(I)}\longrightarrow R^{(I)}\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow 0$$

from this exact sequence we conclude that $Gpd_R(M)=0$ or $Gpd_R(M)=\infty$. Suppose that $Gpd_R(M)=0$ and let $J=R(0,f)\cong 0\propto K$ be a principal ideal of R. J is a direct summand of M, then $Gpd_R(J)=0$. Consider the exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0\longrightarrow ker(u)\longrightarrow R\xrightarrow{u} J\longrightarrow 0$$

where $u((a,e))=(a,e)(0,f)=(0,af)$. Then, $ker(u)=\{(a,e)\in R\mid af=0\}$. Easily we can conclude that $ker(u)=M$. Then, $M\cong R/J\cong K$, hence K is a Gorenstein projective R-module. In particular $Ext_R(K,R)=0$, and R is self-injective$(0=id_K(E)=id_R(R))$ from [15, Proposition 4.35], contradiction. Indeed, R is not self-injective since $Ann_R(ann_R((J))=M\neq J$ and from [26, Corollary 1.38]. Then $Gpd_R(M)=\infty$. On the other hand, R/J is 1-presented R-module and $Gpd_R(M)=Gpd_R(R/J)=\infty$. Finally, R is not a $G-(1,d)-$ring for each positive integer d.

3. Follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2 (8). ■

Next we give an example of a $G-(n,d)-$ring which is not an (n,d)-ring, for every positive integers n and d.

Example 3.2 *Let K be a field and $R=K\propto K$ the trivial ring extension of K by K. Then R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring but not an (n,d)-ring, for every positive integers n and d.*

Proof. From [7, Theorem 3.7], R is a $G-(0,0)-$ring (=quasi-Frobenius), then, from Remark 2.3 R is a $G-(n,d)-$ring for every positive integers n and d. And it follows from [23, Example 3.4] that R is not a (n,d)-ring. ■

Next result generates an example of ring which is $G_(3,0)-$ring but not $G-(2,d)-$ring for every integer $d\geq 0$. Also we give an example of $G-(3,d)-$ring which is neither $G-(3,d-1)-$ring nor $G-(2,d)-$ring.

Theorem 3.3 *Let (A,M) be a local ring and let $R=A\propto A/M$ be the trivial ring extension of A by A/M. Then:*

1. *If M is not finitely generated, then R is a $G-(3,0)-$ring.*
2. *If M contains a regular element, then R is not a $G-(2,d)-$ring, for every integer $d\leq 0$.*

3. *Let S be a Noetherian ring with $G - gldim(S) = d$ for some integer $d \geq 0$. Then, $T = R \times S$ is a $G-(3,d)-$ring which is neither $G-(2,d)$ nor $G-(3,d-1)-$ring.*

Proof.

1. Follows from [19, Theorem 1.1].

2. Suppose that M contains a regular element. Consider the exact sequence of R-modules:

   $$0 \longrightarrow M \propto A/M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(M \propto A/M) \longrightarrow 0. \qquad (\star)$$

   We claim that $Gpd_R(R/(M \propto A/M)) = \infty$. Deny, $Gpd_R(R/(M \propto A/M))$ is finite. From the exact sequence $(\star)$ and [17, Proposition 2.18] we have:

   $$Gpd_R(M \propto A/M) + 1 = Gpd_R(R/(M \propto A/M)) \qquad (1)$$

   Let $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a set of generators of M and $R^{(I)}$ be a free R module. Consider the exact sequence of R-modules:

   $$0 \longrightarrow ker(u) \longrightarrow R^{(I)} \oplus_R R \xrightarrow{u} M \propto A/M \longrightarrow 0$$

   where $u((a_i,e_i)_{i\in I},(b_0,f_0)) = \sum_{i\in I}(a_i,e_i)(x_i,0)+(b_0,f_0)(0,1) = (\sum_{i\in I}(a_i x_i, b_0)$, since $x_i \in M$ for each $i \in I$. Hence

   $$ker(u) = (U \propto (A/M)^{(I)}) \oplus_R (M \propto A/M)$$

   where $U = \{(a_i)_{i\in I} \in A^{(I)} \mid \sum_{i\in I} a_i x_i = 0\}$. Therefore, we have the isomorphism of R-modules:

   $$M \propto A/M \cong [R^{(I)}/(U \propto (A/M)^{(I)})] \oplus_R [R/(M \propto A/M)].$$

   Hence from [17, Proposition 2.19], we have:

   $$Gpd(R/(M \propto A/M)) \leq Gpd_R(M \propto A/M) \qquad (2).$$

   It follows from (1) and (2) that $Gpd(R/(M \propto A/M)) = Gpd_R(M \propto A/M) = \infty$. Now from the exact sequence of R-modules:

   $$0 \longrightarrow M \propto A/M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0 \propto A/M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we conclude that $Gpd_R(0 \propto A/M) = \infty$. On the other hand, let $m \in M$ be a regular element and $J = R(m,0)$ an ideal of R. Consider the exact sequence of R modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow ker(v) \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{v} J \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $v((b,f)) = (b,f)(m,0) = (bm, mf)$. Since m is a regular element, we have $ker(v) = 0 \propto A/M$. Therefore, it follows that $Gpd_R(J) = Gpd_R(0 \propto A/M) = \infty$. On the other had, $0 \propto A/M$ is a finitely generated ideal of R, hence J is a finitely presented ideal of R. Finally, the exact sequence of R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/J \longrightarrow 0,$$

shows that $\lambda_R(R/J) \geq 2$ and $Gpd_R(R/J) = \infty$. Then R is not a $(2,d)-$ring for each positive integer d.

3. Follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2 (8). ■

References

[1] M. Auslander; Anneaux de Gorenstein et torsion en algèbre commutative, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967, Séminaire d'algèbre commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. Texte rédigé, d'après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, par Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro, Ecole Normale Superieure de Jeunes Filles.

[2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger; *Stable module theory,* Memoirs. Amer. Math. Soc., **94**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.

[3] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95**, (1960), 466–488.

[4] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Strongly Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **210**, (2007), 437–445.

[5] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein dimensions*, submitted for publication. Available from arXiv: math.AC/0611358v3 8 Nov 2008.

[6] D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein dimensions of polynomial rings and direct products of rings*, submitted for publication. Available from arXiv: math.AC/0611358v3 8 Nov 2008.

[7] D. Bennis, N. Mahdou, and K. Ouarghi, *Rings over which all modules are strongly Gorenstein projective*. Accepted for publication in Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. Available from arXiv: math.AC/0712.0127 v1 2 Dec 2007.

[8] A. J. Berrick and M. E. Keating; *An Introduction to Rings and Modules*, Cambridge University Press, **65**, 2000.

[9] L. W. Christensen; *Gorenstein Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[10] D. L. Costa; *Parameterizing families of non-noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 3997–4011.

[11] E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), 611-633.

[12] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda; *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, **30**, Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 2000.

[13] E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *On Gorenstein injective modules*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 3489–3501.

[14] E. Enochs, O. Jenda and B. Torrecillas; *Gorenstein flat modules*, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan **10**, (1993), 1–9.

[15] R. M. Fossum, P. A. Griffith and I. Rieten; *Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

[16] S. Glaz; *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1989.

[17] H. Holm; *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **189**, (2004), 167–193.

[18] J. A. Huckaba; *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, New York-Basel: Marcel Dekker, 1988.

[19] S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou; *Trivial extensions of local rings and a conjecture of Costa*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 231. New York:Marcel Dekker, 301–311.

[20] S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou; *Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004) 3937–3953.

[21] I. Kaplansky; *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.

[22] N. Mahdou; *On Costa's conjecture*, Comm. Algebra **29**, (2001), 2775–2785.

[23] N. Mahdou; *On 2-Von Neumann regular rings*, Comm. Algebra **33**, (2005), 3489–3496..

[24] N. Mahdou and K. Ouarghi; *Gorenstein homomlogical dimension in Trivial ring extensions*, (to appear in proceedings of international conference on commutative algebra and applications Fez (Jun 2008)). Available from arXiv: math.AC/0812.4393v1 23 Dec 2008.

[25] N. Mahdou and M. Tamekkante; *Commutative rings of small global Gorenstein dimensions*, submitted for publication. Available from arXiv: math.AC/0812.1304v2 2 Jan 2009.

[26] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Youssif, *Quasi-Frobenius Rings*, Cambridge University Press, **158**, 2003.

[27] J. J. Rotman; *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.